



Sh.Vipan Grover,
Fatehgarh Panjtoor,
Moga.
(M-(9877086735)

... Complainant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o DC,
Moga,

...Respondent

ComplaintCase No. 706 of 2020

Present: None for the Appellant
Mrs.Rajwinder Kaur, Suptd-cum-APIO for the Respondent

ORDER:

The complainant through RTI application dated 14.03.2020 has sought information regarding name and address of the PIO who filed complaint No.08(RTI dated 04.01.2019 against the appellant during the period of advertisement published in the newspaper regarding illegal loan waiver of the farmers along with his present posting and other information concerning the office of DC Moga. The complainant was not satisfied with the reply of the PIO dated 03.07.2020 after which the complainant filed a complaint in the Commission on 24.09.2020.

The case has come up for hearing today through video conferencing at DAC Moga. The respondent present pleaded that the information has already been provided to the appellant in an earlier appeal case No.1855 of 2020 which was disposed off by this bench on 09.12.2020.

Having gone through the record, the Commission observes that the appellant had filed a similar appeal case No.1855 of 2020 for seeking exactly the same information which was disposed off on 09.12.2020, whereas the appellant has undertaken in a certificate that no such appeal case was earlier filed and decided by any of the State Information Commissioner.

Keeping the above in view, the appeal is **dismissed**.

Chandigarh
Dated 03.03.2021

Sd/-
(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commissioner



Sh. Jaswinder Singh, S/o Sh. Labh Singh,
R/o Village Milakh, Tehsil Kharar,
Distt S.A.S Nagar.

... Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o Chairman,
Gram Panchayat,
Water Supply and Sanitation committee,
Village Milakh, Tehsil Kharar, Distt Mohali.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o BDPO,
Kharar, Distt Mohali.

...Respondent

Appeal Case No. 3014 of 2020

Present: Sh.Jaswinder Singh as the Appellant
Mrs.Parminder Kaur, Sarpanch, Village Milakh for the Respondent

ORDER:

The appellant through RTI application dated 20.06.2020 has sought information regarding amount of water bills collected recovered from 01.01.2019 to 20.06.2020 for the supply of water in village Milakh and other information as enumerated in the RTI application concerning the office of Chairman, Water Supply & Sanitation Committee, village Milakh, Tehsil Kharar. The appellant was not satisfied with the reply of the PIO dated 14.07.2020 after which the appellant filed first appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 11.08.2020 which took no decision on the appeal.

The case has come up for hearing today through video conferencing at DAC Mohali. Both the parties are present at Chandigarh. The respondent present pleaded that since the information is voluminous and the appellant was asked vide letter dated 14.07.2020 to deposit requisite fee of Rs.21600/- which the appellant did not deposit.

The appellant claims that the PIO has not raised the exact cost of fee as per the RTI Act.

Since the information is voluminous (10,300 pages) and entails a huge expense, it is recommended that the appellant inspect the record and take only that particular information that he exactly seeks. This can be obtained by fixing a mutually convenient date and time with the PIO. The PIO is directed to allow inspection and provide the relevant information upto 200 pages by raising requisite fee @ Rs.2/- per page.

With the above order, the case is **disposed off and closed**. However, the Commission makes it clear that if the information is not provided to the appellant, the appellant is free to come to the Commission again.

Chandigarh
Dated 03.03.2021

Sd/-
(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commissioner



Sh.Jagtar Singh,
2028, Sector-66,
Mohali.

... Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o DEO (EE),
Phase-8, Mohali.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o DPI (EE),
Phase-8, Mohali.

...Respondent

Appeal Case No. 3319 of 2020

Present: Sh.Jagtar Singh as the Appellant
Sh.Gurmeet Singh, Sr Assistant for the Respondent

ORDER:

The appellant through RTI application dated 27.08.2020 has sought information regarding termination of teaching and non teaching staff from Jan, 2018 to August 2020 by the office of DEO(EE) Mohali and other information as enumerated in the RTI application, concerning the office of DEO(EE) Mohali. The appellant was not satisfied with the reply of the PIO vide letter dated 10.09.2020 after which the appellant filed first appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 15.09.2020 which took no decision on the appeal.

The case has come up for hearing today through video conferencing at DAC Mohali. The appellant claims that the PIO has not provided the information. The respondent present pleaded that the appellant has not specified the information.

Having gone through the RTI application and hearing both the parties, the PIO is directed to relook at the RTI application and provide whatever the document is available on record. The information will be provided within 15 days with a copy to the Commission.

With the above order, the case is **disposed off and closed**. However, the Commission makes it clear that if the information is not received by the appellant, the appellant is free to come to the Commission again.

Chandigarh
Dated 03.03.2021

Sd/-
(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commissioner



Sh. Jagjeet Singh, S/o ShJoginder Singh,
Village Maur Charat Singh,
P.O.Maur Mandi, Distt.Bathinda.

... Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o Tehsildar, Maur,
Distt.Bathinda.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o SDM, Maur Mandi,
Distt.Bathinda..

...Respondent

Appeal Case No. 3424 of 2020

Present: Sh.Jagjeet Singh as the Appellant
Sh.Karam Chand, Reader/Tehsildar for the Respondent

ORDER:

The appellant through RTI application dated 29.08.2020 has sought information regarding copy of order vide which Sh. Karam Chand, reader/Tehsildar was allowed to sign letter No.271 dated 04.06.2019 alongwith name & designation of the officer and other information as enumerated in the RTI application, concerning the office of Tehsildar Maur Distt.Bathinda. The appellant was not provided the information after which the appellant filed first appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 03.10.2020 which took no decision on the appeal.

The case has come up for hearing today through video conferencing at DAC Bathinda. The respondent present pleaded that the information has been provided to the appellant. As per appellant the information is incomplete

Hearing both the parties, the PIO is directed to provide copy of order vide which the reader was authorized to sign letter No.271 regarding point-1 and provide copy of letter no.278 sent by tehsildar to Kanoongo Halka Maur on 06.06.2019 relating to point-3. The information will be provided within ten days of the receipt of the order.

With the above order, the case is **disposed off and closed. However, the Commission makes it clear that if the information is not provided, the appellant is free to come to the Commission again.**

Chandigarh
Dated 03.03.2021

Sd/-
(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commissioner